Modelling the SEDs of high-redshift galaxies: recent progress & future challenges

Elisabete da Cunha

Center for Astrophysics & Supercomputing Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

> Back to the edge of the Universe Sintra, 15 - 19 March 2015

Spectral models: an essential tool for galaxy evolution



Spectral models for high-redshift galaxies

Recent & ongoing developments / challenges:

Emission by stellar populations Evolution of 'vound' stellar population

Evolution of 'young' stellar populations Star formation histories

The interstellar medium: dust & ionized gas

Dust attenuation, self-consistent infrared emission Nebular emission & impact on SEDs

Active galactic nuclei

Correct for contamination in the mid-IR (and other wavelengths)

Generation 'Cosmological effects'

UV absorption by the IGM Effect of the CMB in (sub-)mm observations

Statistical constraints on physical parameters

Fitting SEDs using a Bayesian approach

Spectral models for high-redshift galaxies

Recent & ongoing developments / challenges:

Emission by stellar pc Evolution of 'young' stellar formation histories

Section 24 Section 24

- Active galactic nuclei
 Correct for contaminatio
- Cosmological effects
 UV absorption by the IG
 Effect of the CMB in (sult

MAGPHYS da Cunha, Charlot & Elbaz (2008)

MAGPHYS

MAGPHYS - Multi-wavelength Analysis of Galaxy Physical Properties - is a selfcontained, user-friendly model package to interpret observed spectral energy distributions of galaxies in terms of galaxy-wide physical parameters pertaining to the stars and the interstellar medium, following the approach described in da Cunha, Charlot & Elbaz (2008), MNRAS 388, 1595.

Multi-wavelength Analysis of Galaxy Physical Properties

MAGPHYS

SED Modelling

Applications

The analysis of the spectral energy distribution (SED) of an observed galaxy with MAGPHYS is done in two steps:

- The assembly of a comprehensive library of model SEDs at the same redshift and in the same photometric bands as the observed galaxy, for wide ranges of plausible physical parameters pertaining to the stars and interstellar medium.
- The build-up of the marginalised likelihood distribution of each physical parameter of the observed galaxy, through the comparison of the observed SED with all the models in the library.

The MAGPHYS package is intended to be user-friendly. The code can run by simply the WYGHHX2 backage is intended to be neet-mengly. The code can run by simbly

User-friendly code publicly available at: www.iap.fr/magphys

Statistical constraints on physical parameters Fitting SEDs using a Bayesian approach



emission by stellar populations

Stellar evolution prescription

HR evolutionary tracks for stars of different initial masses & metallicities.



Stellar evolution prescription

HR evolutionary tracks for stars of different initial masses & metallicities.

Spectral libraries

assign spectrum to a star of given mass, age and metallicity.



Stellar evolution prescription

HR evolutionary tracks for stars of different initial masses & metallicities.

Spectral libraries

assign spectrum to a star of given mass, age and metallicity.

Initial Mass Function

how many stars of each mass form in each generation.



Conroy (2013)



spectrum of a SSP at a given age

IMF-weighted sum of the spectra of stars along the isochrone at that age

TP-AGB stars: a closer look

Can be important for stellar populations with ages 0.5 to 1.5 Gyr

 $^{\rm OM}$ Maraston (2005) models predicted NIR fluxes up to 3x higher than BC03 models, and also sharp absorption features at 1.1 - 1.8 μm

Systematic differences in M/L from 0.2 to 0.4 dex



Zibetti+2012:

ISAAC spectroscopic follow-up of a sample of z~0.2 post-starburst galaxies (where contribution by TP-AGB stars should be maximal)

TP-AGB stars: a closer look

1.4 1.2 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.2 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 1.0 0.35 0.40 0.40 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60 0.65 0.35 0.45 0.50 0.55 0.60 0.65 $F_{\lambda}/F_{0.55\mu m}$ 0.8 Age(SSP)=1.02 Gyr Age(SSP)=0.60 Gyr $Z(SSP) = 1.00Z_{\odot}$ $Z(SSP)=2.00Z_{\odot}$ CSP-S -CSP-S -Age(1,2)={0.90,10.00} Gyr Age(1,2)={0.50,10.00} Gyr $Z(1,2) = \{0.20, 1.00\} Z_{\odot}$ $Z(1,2) = \{1.00, 1.00\} Z_{\odot}$ CSP-Y - - -CSP-Y - - -0.6 Age(1,2)={0.72,10.00} Gyr Z(1,2)={0.40,0.40}Z_☉ Age(1,2)={0.50,10.00} Gyr 7 Z(1,2)={1.00,1.00}Z_o 0.4 BC03 Ma05 0.2 PSB J1006+1308 0.0 0.5 1.5 2.0 0.5 1.5 2.0 1.0 1.0 $\lambda/\mu m$ λ/μ m

Zibetti+2012

TP-AGB stars at higher redshifts

Kriek+2010 : Composite post-starburst SED at 0.7 < z < 2.0



Impact of rotation in the evolution of massive stars

Levesque+2012: discussion of adding rotation to Starburst99 models

- Rotational mixing affects stellar lifetimes (e.g. Ekstroem+2012)
- Higher effective temperatures & luminosities (e.g. Leitherer+2008)
- Stronger mass loss; more (& longer-lived) WR stars





spectrum of a SSP at a given age

IMF-weighted sum of the spectra of stars along the isochrone at that age

Galaxy = many stellar populations

Spectrum of all the stars in a galaxy i.e. 'composite stellar population' = $\int SSP(age,metallicity) \times SFR(t)$



Galaxy = many stellar populations

Spectrum of all the stars in a galaxy i.e. 'composite stellar population' = $\int SSP(age,metallicity) \times SFR(t)$

Main parameters:

e age (onset of SF)

Star formation history

metallicity (evolution)



Star formation & chemical enrichment histories

Post-processing of the Millenium simulation by De Lucia & Blaizot (2007)



Looks nothing like a continuous tau-model!

Increasing evidence that the SFHs of high-redshift galaxies need to increase with time.

e.g. Maraston+2010, Lee+2010, Wuyts+2011, Pforr+2012, Behroozi+2013, Pacifici+2013



We need complex (realistic) SFHs to reproduce the observed HST colours of galaxies

Points: 1048 (down to H=23) 3D-HST galaxies in GOODS-South



How should we parameterize the SFH?

Simha+2014



exponentially declining tau-models

- exponentially rising tau-models (Maraston+2010,Pforr+2012)

New MAGPHYS SFHs:

delayed tau-models (spanning a wide range of timescales) + random bursts



the interstellar medium: dust & ionized gas

The interstellar medium: dust

 \bigcirc Stellar **birth clouds** with lifetime t₀.

 Attenuation affecting stars older than t₀ in the diffuse ISM is only a fraction of that affecting young stars in the birth clouds.





The interstellar medium: dust

 \bigcirc Stellar **birth clouds** with lifetime t₀.

 $\$ Attenuation affecting stars older than t₀ in the **diffuse ISM** is only a fraction of that affecting young stars in the birth clouds.



Charlot & Fall (2000)

*

*

HII HI

ISM

The interstellar medium: ionized gas

Stellar **birth clouds** with lifetime t₀.

 \mathbf{S} Attenuation affecting stars older than t₀ in



Charlot & Fall (2000)

The interstellar medium: ionized gas









contamination by nebular emission can be important even at 'moderate' redshifts!

[see also de Barros+2014]

Example broad-band photometry + emission line fit



Pacifici, da Cunha+2015

[see also Maseda+2014]



AGN contamination

Challenges in modelling AGN contamination

AGN component challenging to compute: large dependence on torus parameters, viewing angle, accretion rate etc.

Emission template:

models: large parameter space/ degeneracies
 (e.g. Nenkova+, Fritz+, Siebenmorgen+)

- empirical: challenging to separate torus/SF contributions

Start simple: empirical template (Mullaney+2011)







'cosmological effects': the IGM and the CMB

Absorption of UV photons by the IGM

$$F_{\nu}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{(1+z)L_{\nu}^{\text{em}}}{4\pi d_{L}^{2}} \exp(-\tau_{\text{eff}})$$

Average attenuation (e.g. Madau 1995)



no dust

IGM absorption: z=0 z=2.5 z=4.5

Effect of the CMB in (sub-)mm observations

da Cunha, Groves, Walter+2013



Effect of the CMB in (sub-)mm observations

1. Extra heating by the CMB 40 30 \mathbf{X} Temperature dust СМВ 20 10 Fraction of flux observed against the CMB F, [intrinsic] 1.0 0 2 8 0 4 6 10 0.8 Ζ against CMB] 0.6 ALMA I 0.4 [observed 0.2 $F_{..}^{\rm obs \, against \, CMB}$ $B_{\nu}(T_{\rm CMB})$ 0.0 B_{ν} Fintrinsic $I_{\rm dust}$

 \mathcal{V}

da Cunha, Groves, Walter+2013

2. CMB is a strong background at high z

6

Ζ

2

4

0

ALMA 10

8

10









Effect on the derived intrinsic dust properties



Temperature & emissivity index overestimated

Dust luminosity & mass underestimated



constraining the physical properties from observed SEDs

SED fitting: Bayesian Method

Kauffmann+2003, Gallazzi+2005, Salim+2007, da Cunha+2008



GOODS J123646.67+620833.3

z_{spec}=0.971



HST VJH composite



Physical parameter likelihood distributions



Spectral models for high-redshift galaxies

Evolution of 'young' stellar populations

The effect of TP-AGB stars - not as high as previously thought Rotation changes the UV emission of young massive stars

Star formation histories

We need to do better than tau-models at high redshift

Nebular emission

Include self-consistently in the SEDs; contamination of broad-band photometry

Dust attenuation & dust infrared emission

Model dust attenuation and emission self-consistently

AGN contamination

Empirical template to correct SFRs, stellar masses for excess emission

'Cosmological effects'

IGM absorption in the UV CMB: heating source & strong observational background in the (sub-)mm

Coming soon at <u>www.iap.fr/MAGPHYS</u>

Spectral models for high-redshift galaxies

Evolution of 'young' stellar populations

The effect of TP-AGB stars - not as high as previously thought Rotation changes the UV emission of young massive stars

Star formation histories

We need to do better than tau-models at high redshift

Nebular emission

See also reviews on SED Include self-consistently in the SEDs; contamination c

Dust attenuation & dust infrared emis Model dust attenuation and emission self-

AGN contamination

Empirical template to correct SFRs, stellar r

'Cosmological effects'

IGM absorption in the UV CMB: heating source & strong observational b

Coming sum at www.iap.fr/MAGPHYS

modelling by

Walcher+2010 and

Conroy 2013

Hayward & Smith 2015

(comparison with RT

models)