The nature and evolution of star-forming galaxies: 11 Gyrs with a single, homogeneous selection

David Sobral

IA-U. Lisbon/Leiden Observatory



instituto de astrofísica

e ciências do espaço

<u>Jorryt Matthee</u>, Ian Smail, Philip Best, Mark Swinbank, John Stott, <u>Ali Khostovan</u>, Iván Oteo, Edo Ibar, Y. Koyama, <u>Ana</u> <u>Afonso, João Calhau</u>, Behnam Darvish, Bahram Mobasher, Andra Stroe, Sérgio Santos





How (and driven by which mechanisms) do galaxies form and evolve?









How (and driven by which mechanisms) do galaxies form and evolve?

Complicated:







Many ways to use the "golden era" telescopes/instrumentation





- 1) Take whatever is there (very complicated/biased selection)
- 2) Pick a certain selection that is easy/simple/robust but can't be replicated across cosmic time
- 3) A selection that can be replicated but not so robust/simple
- 4) Simple selection that can be replicated across cosmic time

Understanding (and minimising/eliminating!) selection biases/ limitations is extremely important

Many ways to use the "golden era" telescopes/instrumentation

- Lots of amazing "follow-up" machines: but we need groundbreaking, large-area, sensitive survey machines
- No point in having S/N~zillion and getting "perfect" measurements if we are "selection-limited"! (Why would we want a perfect measurement of a biased sample?)
- We need to survey with the best possible selection(s) and apply them in the same way across cosmic times

From the "golden era" of follow-up machines to the "Platinum era"

What we need:

mprove SFH/ Part I nderstand the SFH/ Part

- A good (single) star-formation tracer that can be applied with current instrumentation
- Well calibrated + sensitive

- Able to <u>uniformly</u> select large samples
- Different epochs + Large areas + Best-studied fields

Ha (-- NB)

- Sensitive, good selection
- Well-calibrated
- Traditionally for Local Universe
- Narrow-band technique
- Now with Wide Field near-infrared cameras: can be done over large areas
 - And traced up to z ~ 3



(further function for the second se

MW SFRs up to z~2.5!

Selection really matters

Lyman-break/UV selection: <u>misses</u> <u>~65-70%</u> of starforming galaxies! (<u>metal-rich, dusty</u>) (+ systematics)

LAEs: <u>miss</u> ~80% of star-forming galaxies

<u>HAEs get ~100%</u> <u>down to the Ha</u> <u>flux limit they</u> <u>sample</u>

See also Hayashi et al. 2013 for [OII]



Selection really matters

Lyman-break/UV selection: <u>misses</u> <u>~65-70%</u> of starforming galaxies! (<u>metal-rich, dusty</u>) (+ systematics)

LAEs: <u>miss</u> ~80% of star-forming galaxies

<u>HAEs get ~100%</u> <u>down to the Ha</u> <u>flux limit they</u> <u>sample</u>

See also Hayashi et al. 2013 for [OII]





Selection really matters

Selecting <u>Star-forming</u> <u>galaxies</u>: Ha selected samples recover the wide range of Starforming galaxies + Get robust SFRs



HIZELS The High Redshift Emission Line Survey (Geach+08,Sobral+09,12,13a) (+Deep NBH + Subar-HiZELS + HAWK-I)

- Deep & Panoramic extragalactic survey, narrowband imaging (NB921, NBJ, NBH, NBK) over ~ 5-10 deg²
- ~80 Nights UKIRT+Subaru
 +VLT+CFHT+INT
- Narrow-band Filters target Ha at z=(0.2), 0.4, 0.8, 0.84, 1.47, 2.23
- Same reduction+analysis
- Other lines (simultaneously; Sobral +09a,b,Sobral+12,13a,b, 14,15a,c;Matthee+14,Khostovan+15)

<u>Sobral et al. 2013a</u>





Double-NB survey

Sobral+12 400 Ha+[OII] / night!

Subaru joins UKIRT to "walk through the desert"

NB921[0II]

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

1.44

1.45

1.46

Transmitance (%)

The first Ha-[OII] large double-blind survey at high-z Sobral et al. 2012 See Hayashi, Sobral et al. 2013: [OII] SFRs at z=1.5

without any need for colour or photometric redshift selections

Filters combined to improve selection: double/triple line detections

<u>Ha emitters in HiZELS</u> <u>2 sq deg: COSMOS + UDS</u>

Prior to HiZELS: ~10 sources

In DEEP2006

Ha emitters in HiZELSPrior to HiZELS:2 sq deg: COSMOS + UDS~10 sourcesSobral et al. 2013: (catalogues fully public!):z=0.4: 1122z=0.8: 637z=1.47: 515and z=2.23: 807

Why we need large, multiple volumes!

1 deg²

Sobral et al. 2015a

10 deg²

Sobral et al. 2013a

Ha Star formation History

Strong decline with time $\log \rho_{\rm SFR} = -0.14T - 0.23$ $\log_{10}({\rm SFRD}) = -2.1/(1+z)$

Sobral+13a

Stellar Mass density evolution assembly

Star formation history prediction matches observations

Ha Star formation History

Strong decline with time $\log \rho_{\rm SFR} = -0.14T - 0.23$ $\log_{10}({\rm SFRD}) = -2.1/(1+z)$

Sobral+13a

Universe will only gain 5% more stellar mass density

9.0

8.5

0.8

13

Stellar Mass Density, $\log
ho_*$ (M $_\odot$ Mpc $^{-3}$)

- 7.5 This Study ($\mathbf{H}\alpha$ SFH; $\mathbf{z} < 2.23$) This Study ($\mathbf{H}\alpha$ SFH; $\pm 1\sigma$) Hopkins & Beacom 2006 Perez-Gonzalez+2008 Fleport 2008: Marchosinit 2000
 - Elsner+2008; Marchesini+2009

9

11

Age of the Universe (Gyrs)

Equally selected "Slices" with >1000 star-forming galaxies in multiple environments and with a range of properties

Check out the latest results:

Size + merger evolution: Stott+13a Metallicity evolution + FMR: Stott+13b,14 [OII]-Ha at high-z: Hayashi+13,Sobral+12 Dust properties: Garn+10,S+12,Ibar+13 Clustering: Geach+08,13, Sobral+10 [OII]+[OIII] LFs to z~5: Khostovan+15

Catalogues are public!

Dynamics: e.g. Swinbank+12a,b, Sobral+13b Lyman-alpha at z>7: Sobral+09b,Matthee+14 Environment vs Mass: e.g. Sobral+11, Koyama+13 AGN vs SF: Garn+10, Lehmer+13, Sobral+15c

Sobral et al. (2014)

SFR function: Strong SFR*evolution

SFR function: Strong SFR*evolution

 $SFR^{*}(T) = 10^{(4.23/T+0.37)} M_{o}/yr$

T, Gyrs

Faint-end

 α

-2.0

-2.5

-3.0 -3.5 -4.0

-4.5

-5.0

-5.5

<mark>@</mark> *

Z=(

z=0.4 (This Study) z=0.84 (This Study)

z=1.47 (This Study

z=2.23 (This Study

z=1

1.0 3.0 10.0 30.0

SFR (M $_{\odot}$ vr⁻¹)

0.3

 $\log(\Phi \text{ (Mpc}^{-3}))$

13x decrease over last 11 Gyrs

Sobral+14

Evolution of SFR* (SSFR) same in fields and clusters since z=2.23

Environment at z~1

Sobral et al. (2011)

Results reconcile previous apparent contradictions

see T. Kodama's talk

Galaxy Dynamics at z~0.8-2.2

Swinbank, Sobral al. 2012

From AO IFU observations

velocit

~45 hours of VLT time

Galaxy Dynamics at z~0.8-2.2

Swinbank, Sobral et al. 2012

Swinbank al. 2012b

(MNRAS/ApJ):

- Star-forming clumps: scaledup version of local HII regions

- Negative metallicity gradients: "inside-out" growth

~50 hours of VLT time

Galaxy Dynamics at z~0.8-2.2

Swinbank al. 2012a

From AO IFU observations

~5 hours of VLT time

Evolution of the Tully Fisher relation?

CF-HIZELS KMOS SAMPLE

just 4 hours! (with overheads)

z=0 Mass-Metailicty Relation (Kewley & Ellison 2008)

KROSS

Go see Ana's

volution from poster! z~2.23 to z~0

Structural evolution of H α -selected galaxies from z \sim 0 to z \sim 2.3

Ana Paulino-Afonso^{1,2}, David Sobral^{1,2,3}

¹Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciências do Espaço, Universidade de Lisboa, OAL, Tapada da Ajuda, PT1349-018 Lisboa, Portugal ²Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C8, Campo Grande, PT1749-016, Lisboa, Portugal ³Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

A sample of hundreds of H α -selected galaxies

Using H α narrow-band imaging over very large areas through the HiZELS survey [4], we have obtained samples of thousands of galaxies selected in a consistent way since $z\sim2.5$ until today. Here we present the structural analysis of the CALIFA DR2 sample together with the KMOS followed-up sample.

GALFIT: a parametric galaxy fitting code

GALFIT [3] is a public available 2D parametric image-fitting algorithm designed to study the structural components of galaxies using wellknown parametrized models from the literature. For the purpose of this work single Sérsic profiles were applied. Masking of bright neighbours and proper PSFs were taken into account during the fitting process. Highly irregular galaxies are excluded from the final sample.

The Calar Alto legacy integral field area survey

Being one of the best local IFU surveys, CAL-IFA was designed to obtain spatially resolved spectroscopy of a diameter selected sample of ~600 galaxies. The 2^{nd} Data Release [2] contains data for ~200 sources spanning redshifts between 0.005 and 0.03, which enable us to characterize observationally a wide range of properties such as stellar masses, ionization conditions and morphological types.

Three typical velocity maps from the CALIFA website [7].

The KMOS redshift one spectroscopic survey

KROSS is a large programme at VLT that aims to resolve spatially the dynamics, metal content and star formation of 1000 galaxies at $z\sim1$. With such a large sample, one will be able to compare different bins of stellar mass, star formations, rotational speeds and quantify trends from galaxy scaling relations and investigate the role of nature and nurture in galaxy evolution since $z\sim2.5$.

Examples of H- α velocity maps shown in [5].

The evolution of sizes and Sérsic indices from the peak of the star-formation history till today

0

CO follow-up well underway with ALMA and PdBI

Towards resolved (~sub-kpc) Ha + CO + dust maps and evolution from $z\sim2$ to $z\sim0$ for "typical" SFGs Equally selected "Slices" with >1000 star-forming galaxies in multiple environments and with a range of properties

Check out the latest results:

Catalogues, Ha LFs: Sobral+12,13,15a

Size + merger evolution: Stott+13a Metallicity evolution + FMR: Stott+13b,14 [OII]-Ha at high-z: Hayashi+13,Sobral+12 Dust properties: Garn+10,S+12,Ibar+13 Clustering: Geach+08,13, Sobral+10 [OII]+[OIII] LFs to z~5: Khostovan+15

Dynamics: Swinbank+12a,b, S+13b, Stott+14 Lyman-alpha at z>7: Sobral+09b,Matthee+14

Environment vs Mass: e.g. Sobral+11, Koyama+13, Darvish+14 AGN vs SF: Garn+10, Lehmer+13, Sobral+15c

Summary:

last 11 Gyrs

Ha selection z~0.2-2.2: Robust, <u>self-consistent SFRH</u> +
 Agreement with the stellar mass density growth

- The **bulk of the evolution** over the **last 11 Gyrs** is in the **typical SFR (SFR*) at all masses and all environments:** <u>factor ~13x</u>
- <u>- Selection effects: selection really matters! Need to compare like with like!</u>
- SINFONI w/ AO: Star-forming galaxies since z=2.23: ~75% "disks", negative metallicity gradients, many show clumps
- <u>KMOS+Hα (NB)</u> selection works extraordinarily well: resolved dynamics of typical SFGs in ~1-2 hours, 75+-8% disks, 50-275km/s

- <u>Largest NB surveys: Hα, [OIII] & [OII]: many lessons learnt,</u> <u>Luminosity functions up to the highest luminosities/volumes</u>